

Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 329

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Arciniega v. Feliciano	293
<i>Writ of mandamus; counterclaim; whether party lacked standing to advance counterclaim; statutory aggrievement, discussed; whether acceptance by election officials of petitions bearing allegedly incorrect address of candidate constitutes ruling of election official pursuant to statute (§ 9-329a).</i>	
Beale v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis).	904
Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Carrion v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	907
Cator v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	902
Ferreira v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis)	904
Fiano v. Old Saybrook Fire Co. No. 1, Inc. (Order).	910
Gilchrist v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Demelis (Order)	903
Henderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	911
Hirschfeld v. Machinist (Order)	913
In re Athena C. (Order).	911
In re Taijha H.-B. (Order).	914
Jepsen v. Camassar (Order)	909
Jobe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906
Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	909
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Healey (Order)	912
Kutch v. Arisian	530
<i>Zoning; whether trial court correctly determined that municipal regulation of signs erected on homeowner's residential property was outside scope of authority granted to municipality under applicable statute (§ 8-2); whether homeowner's signs disparaging remodeling contractor were "advertising signs" under § 8-2; interpretation of term "advertising signs" in § 8-2, discussed; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying zoning enforcement officer's request to enjoin homeowner from occupying her residence until she obtained certificate of occupancy.</i>	
Mendillo v. Tinley, Renahan & Dost, LLP	515
<i>Declaratory judgment; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motion to dismiss; whether plaintiff's action was justiciable; whether trial court could afford any practical relief to plaintiff in action seeking judgment declaring that Appellate Court violated his constitutional rights in Sowell v. DiCara (161 Conn. App. 102) by upholding determination that plaintiff had violated Rules of Professional Conduct.</i>	
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Frey (Order)	907
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	901
Puente v. Progressive Northwestern Ins. Co. (Order)	913
Rutter v. Janis (Order)	904
Samelko v. Kingstone Ins. Co.	249
<i>Action pursuant to statute (§ 38a-321) subrogating plaintiffs to insured's rights under automobile insurance policy with defendant insurer; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant satisfied corporate long arm statute (§ 33-929 [f] [1]) providing for jurisdiction over foreign corporation on cause of action arising out of contract to be performed in Connecticut; claim that insurance policy was contract to be performed in Connecticut because defendant promised to defend and indemnify insured nationwide; claim that due process clause of fourteenth amendment to federal constitution was offended by exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant.</i>	
Skakel v. Commissioner of Correction	1
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; failure to investigate and call potential alibi witness; motion for reconsideration en banc of decision of this court</i>	

<i>reversing judgment of habeas court, which granted habeas petition; propriety of adding seventh panel member to consider motion for reconsideration en banc when original panel member has retired from Judicial Branch; whether trial counsel's failure to investigate whether potential alibi witness could provide testimony that was favorable to petitioner's alibi defense was unreasonable and, therefore, constituted deficient performance; whether trial counsel's deficient performance resulted in prejudice to petitioner; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of petitioner's criminal trial would have been different if trial counsel had located potential alibi witness and had presented his testimony; partial alibis, discussed; strength of state's case against petitioner, discussed.</i>	
Stanley v. Taylor (Order)	909
State v. Abraham (Order)	908
State v. Acampora (Order)	903
State v. Acker (Order)	910
State v. Andaz (Order)	901
State v. Artiaco (Order)	906
State v. Bagnaschi (Order)	912
State v. Brown (Order)	913
State v. Castillo	311
<i>Attempt to commit robbery first degree; attempt to commit robbery second degree; motion to suppress; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that nearly seventeen year old defendant was not in custody for purposes of Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436) when police interrogated him in living room of his apartment; factors to be considered in determining whether suspect is in custody for purposes of Miranda, discussed; claim that court should exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice and adopt per se rule requiring that juvenile waiver forms include language that waiver may apply in adult criminal proceedings if case is transferred from juvenile docket.</i>	
State v. Dijmarescu (Order)	912
State v. Grajales (Order)	910
State v. Jan G.	465
<i>Murder; assault of elderly person third degree; whether defendant was compelled to represent himself without proper waiver of right to counsel; whether defendant continued to be represented by counsel while presenting certain narrative testimony; State v. Francis (317 Conn. 452), distinguished.</i>	
State v. Jordan	272
<i>Assault second degree; self-defense; motion to preclude evidence; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly excluded victim's subsequent domestic violence convictions, which were offered by defendant as evidence that victim initiated confrontation with defendant, when conduct forming basis for victim's convictions occurred subsequent to charged incident; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court's preclusion of evidence of victim's convictions was harmless error.</i>	
State v. Kaminski (Order)	905
State v. Kukucka (Order)	905
State v. Mara (Order)	902
State v. Moore (Order)	905
State v. Norman P.	440
<i>Sexual assault in spousal relationship; assault of elderly person second degree; assault of elderly person third degree; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court lacked discretion to decline to mark complainant's privileged counseling center records for identification following that court's determination that defendant failed to make requisite showing to require in camera review of those records; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly declined to conduct in camera review of complainant's privileged counseling center records; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's entire written statement to police was admissible pursuant to provision (§ 1-5 [b]) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; proper application of § 1-5 (b), discussed.</i>	
State v. Parnoff	386
<i>Disorderly conduct; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to sustain defendant's conviction; whether defendant's statement that he would get gun and shoot two water company employees unless they left his property constituted fighting words that are unprotected by first amendment to federal constitution; claim that defendant's</i>	

<i>comment would cause reasonable addressee in position of water company employees to respond with imminent violence; whether subjective analysis of reaction of water company employees supported this court's independent conclusion that average water company employee would not react immediately and violently to defendant's statements.</i>	
State v. Rivera (Order)	907
State v. Tierinni	289
<i>Sexual assault second degree; risk of injury to child; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant had waived claim regarding his right to be present during sidebar conferences at which certain evidentiary objections were discussed, insofar as he agreed to trial court's use of that procedure.</i>	
Teixeira v. Home Depot, Inc. (Order).	903
Walgreen Eastern Co. v. West Hartford	484
<i>Property tax appeal; assessments; whether trial court correctly determined true and actual value of property in statutory (§ 12-117a) appeal by plaintiff; whether consideration of actual rental income is required by statute (§ 12-63b [b]) for valuation of property under income capitalization market approach; First Bethel Associates v. Bethel (231 Conn. 731), discussed; whether trial court's determination that property's highest and best use was as national chain pharmacy was clearly erroneous; whether trial court correctly determined that plaintiff failed to prove claim of manifestly excessive assessment pursuant to statute (§ 12-119).</i>	
Williams v. New Haven	366
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly upheld decision of workers' compensation commissioner denying defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff employee's statutory (§ 31-290a) claim of retaliatory discharge; whether plaintiff's claim was precluded by collateral estoppel because it had been decided in prior arbitration under collective bargaining agreement; claim that Genovese v. Gallo Wine Merchants, Inc. (226 Conn. 475), which interpreted statute (§ 31-51bb) providing that no employee shall be denied right to pursue, in court of competent jurisdiction, cause of action arising under state statute solely because employee is covered by collective bargaining agreement, did not apply because plaintiff's claim was filed in forum other than Superior Court; whether phrase in § 31-51bb that employee can pursue claim in court of competent jurisdiction plainly and unambiguously manifested intent to apply exclusively to claims pursued in Superior Court; claim that § 31-51bb had been satisfied because plaintiff filed application to vacate prior arbitration award in Superior Court.</i>	